Orp1, a member of the Cdc18/Cdc6 family of S-phase regulators, is homologous to a component of the origin recognition complex

M. MUZI-FALCONI AND THOMAS J. KELLY

Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205

Contributed by Thomas J. Kelly, September 11, 1995

ABSTRACT cdc18⁺ of Schizosaccharomyces pombe is a periodically expressed gene that is required for entry into S phase and for the coordination of S phase with mitosis. cdc18+ is related to the Saccharomyces cerevisiae gene CDC6, which has also been implicated in the control of DNA replication. We have identified a new Sch. pombe gene, orp1+, that encodes an 80-kDa protein with amino acid sequence motifs conserved in the Cdc18 and Cdc6 proteins. Genetic analysis indicates that orp1⁺ is essential for viability. Germinating spores lacking the orp1⁺ gene are capable of undergoing one or more rounds of DNA replication but fail to progress further, arresting as long cells with a variety of deranged nuclear structures. Unlike cdc18⁺, orp1⁺ is expressed constitutively during the cell cycle. $cdc18^+$, CDC6, and $orp1^+$ belong to a family of related genes that also includes the gene ORC1, which encodes a subunit of the origin recognition complex (ORC) of S. cerevisiae. The products of this gene family share a 250-amino acid domain that is highly conserved in evolution and contains several characteristic motifs, including a consensus purine nucleotide-binding motif. Among the members of this gene family, orp1⁺ is most closely related to S. cerevisiae ORC1. Thus, the protein encoded by $orp1^+$ may represent a component of an Sch. pombe ORC. The $orp1^+$ gene is also closely related to an uncharacterized putative human homologue. It is likely that the members of the cdc18/CDC6 family play key roles in the regulation of DNA replication during the cell cycle of diverse species from archaebacteria to man.

The initiation of DNA replication is tightly controlled during the eukaryotic cell cycle (1-7). Initiation takes place at multiple origins of replication distributed along the chromosomal DNA and is normally triggered when cells reach a characteristic size. A key feature of the initiation process is that origins are activated once and only once each cell cycle, ensuring that exactly two copies of each segment of chromosomal DNA are produced. Recent work has resulted in the identification of a protein complex in budding yeast that specifically recognizes origins of DNA replication (8). Several lines of evidence indicate that this origin recognition complex (ORC) is necessary for the initiation of DNA replication (8-11). However, DNA footprinting suggests that ORC may be bound to chromosomal origins not just in S phase, but throughout the cell cycle (12). Prior to S phase, the ORC footprint is extended by an additional region of protection (12). Thus, it is likely that additional factors contribute to triggering the onset of DNA replication at S phase.

In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the transition from G_1 to S phase of the cell cycle requires the transient activation of a transcription factor containing the products of the $cdc10^+$ and $sct1^+$ genes (13). Activation occurs in late G_1 and is dependent upon the activity of the Cdc2 protein kinase, which is known to regulate both the G_1/S and G_2/M transitions (14). A key target of the Cdc10/Sct1 transcriptional activator

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "*advertisement*" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

is the $cdc18^+$ gene (1, 15). Expression of $cdc18^+$ from a heterologous promoter is sufficient to rescue the lethality of a conditional temperature-sensitive (ts) $cdc10^{4s}$ mutant. The $cdc18^+$ gene product, a 65-kDa protein, is essential for the G_1/S transition. Moreover, $p65^{cdc18}$ is a highly labile protein whose expression is confined to a narrow window at the G_1/S boundary (unpublished data). These properties are consistent with the hypothesis that Cdc18 may play an important role in regulating the initiation of DNA replication at S phase. The Cdc18 protein is homologous to the budding yeast Cdc6 protein, which may have a similar function (16).

In this report we describe the identification and characterization of $orp1^+$,* a Sch. pombe gene related to $cdc18^+$. The protein encoded by $orp1^+$ is even more closely related to the large subunit of the budding yeast ORC, suggesting that it may represent a component of a similar complex in Sch. pombe. Cells lacking $orp1^+$ are inviable. When spores carrying a disrupted version of $orp1^+$ are germinated, they undergo one or a few rounds of DNA replication but do not progress further in the cell cycle. Many of the arrested cells show the elongated phenotype characteristic of cdc mutants and have abnormal nuclear structures. Interestingly, the Cdc18, Cdc6, Orc1, and Orp1 proteins share a 250-amino acid domain that is highly conserved in evolution. The family of proteins containing this domain likely plays an important role in the regulation of DNA replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Plasmids. All Sch. pombe strains used are isogenic to the wild-type 972 h^- . Strain TK79 $h^+/h^$ $cdc18^+/cdc18::ura4^+$ leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216 was used as a control in the spore germination experiment. Cells were transformed by electroporation as described (15). For temperature-shift experiments, cells were grown at the permissive temperature of 25°C and shifted to 35.5°C for the indicated times. Wild-type strains were grown at 30°C. Media were as described (17).

Degenerate PCR. Sch. pombe genomic DNA was prepared as described (17). Reaction mixtures (100μ l) contained 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 1 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase (BRL), and 500 pmol of the following pair of degenerate primers: 5'-CCGGAATTCTAYRTNASNG-GNSCICCIGGIIGIGGIAARAC-3' and 5'-CCGGAAT-TCANNTBNARNGCICGICKIGYITCICC-3', where T or C = Y; A or G = R; C or G = S; G or T = K; A, C, G, or T = N; and G, T, or C = B. PCR was carried out in a Robocycler Gradient 40 (Stratagene) under the following conditions: 1 min at 94°C, 1.5 min at 42–56°C gradient, 1 min at 72°C for 30 cycles, terminated with a final 5 min at 72°C. The PCR product was isolated from an agarose gel by using the QIAEX extraction kit (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA) according to the manufac-

Abbreviation: ORC, origin recognition complex.

^{*}The sequence reported in this paper has been deposited in the GenBank data base (accession no. U38522).

turer's instructions and was subcloned into the EcoRI site of pBluescript II SK(+), generating the plasmid pMF93.

Isolation of the *orp1*⁺ **Gene.** The degenerate PCR reaction product was labeled with ³²P by using the DECAPrimeII kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) and was used to probe an ordered *Sch. pombe* cosmid library as described (18). The *orp1*⁺ gene was localized to cosmid 2G5c. Additional clones were isolated from *Sch. pombe* genomic and cDNA libraries that have been described elsewhere (15). Sequence assembly and similarity analyses were carried out by using SEQUENCHER (Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, MI) and the Genetics Computer Group (GCG) package, respectively.

Gene Disruption. An Nhe I fragment of orp1⁺ (nucleotides -207 to 2108 in Fig. 1), containing almost all of the open reading frame, was replaced by the 1800-bp ura4⁺ gene. A 4383-bp linear BamHI-Hpa I fragment containing this *orp1*⁺::*ura4*⁺ replacement and the flanking genomic sequences (see map in Fig. 1) was introduced into a diploid strain (h^+/h^-) leu1-32/leu1-32 ura4-D18/ura4-D18 ade6-M210/ade6-M216). Uracil prototophs were selected and screened to identify $orp1\Delta/orp1^+$ heterozygous diploids. Replacement of the $orp1^+$ sequence with ura4⁺ was confirmed by Southern blot analysis of several restriction enzyme digests of DNA derived from transformants. For analysis of the phenotype of haploids with a disruption of the $orp1^+$ gene, tetrad analysis was performed by standard methods (17). Large-scale preparation of spores, flow cytometry, and DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining were performed as described (15).

Cell Synchronization. Synchronization was accomplished by releasing a cdc25-22 strain from a cell cycle block in late G₂ (21). For this purpose, an exponentially growing culture of the

cdc25-22 strain was arrested for 4 hr at the nonpermissive temperature of 35.5°C. The arrested culture was then shifted to the permissive temperature of 25°C, and samples were taken at the indicated time points. Preparation of RNA from synchronized cells and Northern blotting were performed as described (15).

RESULTS

Identification of orp1+. As part of an effort to identify additional Sch. pombe genes involved in regulating DNA replication, we made use of degenerate PCR methods to search for gene products with amino acid sequence homology to Cdc18 protein. The design of appropriate primers was based upon sequence motifs conserved in Cdc18, S. cerevisiae Cdc6, and several related sequences that were present in public sequence data bases (see Materials and Methods). This approach resulted in the identification of a single PCR product, distinct from $cdc18^+$, which was used as a probe to isolate the $orp1^+$ gene from an ordered library of Sch. pombe genomic clones in cosmids (18, 19). Partial cDNA clones containing the coding sequence of $orp1^+$ were also isolated. The $orp1^+$ gene is located in cosmid 2G5c (18), which maps just centromereproximal to the $rad13^+$ gene on the long arm of chromosome II.

Sequence analysis of genomic and cDNA clones revealed that $orp1^+$ gene contains a single intron and an open reading frame of 707 codons capable of encoding a hypothetical protein of 80 kDa (Fig. 1). The deduced amino acid sequence of $p80^{orp1}$ is 31% identical to the sequence of $p65^{cdc18}$ and contains the A and B components of a classical purine



FIG. 1. Sequence of $orp1^+$. The $orp1^+$ gene was localized to chromosome II by hybridization to an ordered cosmid library (18, 19). (Upper) The hatched arrow indicates the $orp1^+$ open reading frame. (Lower) Lowercase letters refer to noncoding sequences. A single intron, identified by sequencing the complete cDNA clone, is underlined. Sequence analysis resulted in the identification of $rec8^+$ (20) as the gene immediately upstream of $orp1^+$. The black arrow in Upper indicates the C terminus of the $rec8^+$ open reading frame.

nucleotide-binding motif (22). Other features of note in the Orp1 open reading frame are several Cdc2 consensus phosphorylation sites and a highly charged segment with alternating acidic and basic regions that is located N-terminal to the "A" consensus sequence of the purine nucleotide-binding motif.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae contains two known proteins with amino acid sequence homology to Sch. pombe Cdc18. One of these is Cdc6 and the other is a hypothetical protein deduced from the sequence analysis of chromosome XIII (cosmid 9745; accession no. Z38114). It has been demonstrated that the latter sequence corresponds to ORC1, the gene encoding the largest subunit of the ORC of budding yeast (B. Stillman, personal communication). Of the two S. cerevisiae proteins, Orp1 is most similar to Orc1 (30% identity), while the Cdc18 protein is most similar to Cdc6 (31% identity). Given the functional similarities of $cdc18^+$ and CDC6, it seems reasonable to expect that orp1⁺ and ORC1 mediate analogous functions. Orc1 also has amino acid sequence homology to Sir3, a protein involved in transcriptional silencing (B. Stillman, personal communication). The homology is particularly striking within the Nterminal regions of Orc1 and Sir3. Homology between Orp1 and Sir3 is less evident, although the two proteins are probably distantly related (see below).

Characterization of $orpl^+$. Using standard methods, we generated a diploid strain in which one copy of the $orp1^+$ open reading frame was disrupted with the $ura4^+$ gene (17). Analysis of tetrads derived from this strain indicated that $orp1^+$ is an essential gene. All 20 tetrads dissected gave rise to 2 viable and 2 inviable spores. The viable spores were all Ura⁻ as expected for cells carrying the wild-type allele of $orp1^+$. The inviable ura4⁺ spores could be rescued by transformation of the diploid with an ARS (autonomously replicating sequence) plasmid carrying either a 6.0-kb BamHI genomic fragment of orp1⁺ or the $orp1^+$ cDNA expressed under the control of the $nmt1^+$ promoter. To further characterize the phenotype of cells lacking orp1⁺, we studied the fate of the germinating orp1⁻ spores by flow cytometry and microscopy (Fig. 2). The $orp1^+/orp1$::ura4⁺ diploid was sporulated, and the residual vegetative cells were removed by digestion with Helicase (IBF Biotechnics, Villeneuve-la-Garenne, France; ref. 17). The spores were then inoculated into minimal medium lacking uracil. Under these conditions, only the orp1::ura4⁺ spores were able to germinate and grow (17). By 5 hr after inoculation, all of the spores had a 1C DNA content by flow cytometry (Fig. 2 Upper). Between 5 and 10 hr after inoculation, the germinating orp1+::ura4+ spores synthesized DNA and achieved a 2C DNA content. The ungerminated ura-orp1+ spores remained at a 1C DNA content. The ratio of cells with 2C DNA content to those with 1C DNA content increased at later time points, suggesting that at least some of the spores lacking $orp1^+$ are capable of undergoing more than one round of DNA replication and cell division. However, cell division eventually ceased, and the flow cytometry profile became very broad. Microscopic examination revealed that the germinated orp1::ura4⁺ spores became increasingly elongated beginning at about 12 hr of incubation (Fig. 2 Lower). In addition at later times (15–19 hr), the nuclear morphology became abnormal, often undergoing fragmentation, as illustrated in the inset in Fig. 2 Lower. A number of cells with abnormal partitioning of DNA at cell division ("cut" cells) were also observed, suggesting possible abnormalities in the checkpoint mechanism that links mitosis to completion of DNA replication.

As a control, a population of spores derived from a $ura4^-/ura4^+$ $orp1^+/orp1^+$ diploid were inoculated into the same medium. Again, the germinating ura^+ spores underwent DNA replication between 5 and 10 hr after inoculation although, for reasons not yet understood, the first S phase reproducibly occurred later than in the $orp1^+$::ura4⁺ spores. The cells continued to multiply, so that by 15 hr after inoculation, a majority of the cells had the 2C DNA content characteristic of



FIG. 2. Phenotype of $\Delta orp1$ cells. Spores derived from two different diploid strains were germinated in minimal medium lacking uracil. At the indicated times, samples were removed and fixed in ethanol. Fixed cells were rehydrated and stained either with propidium iodide for flow cytometry (*Upper*) or with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole for fluorescence microscopy (*Lower*). Strains: $orp1^+$, $ura4^+/ura4$ -D18 $orp1^+/orp1^+$; $\Delta orp1$, $orp1^+/orp1$:ura4-D18. The 15-hr $\Delta orp1$ panel in *Lower* represents the more frequent phenotypes. (*Lower Inset*) $\Delta orp1$ cells with fragmented nuclei. 1C, 1 genome equivalent (haploid); 2C, 2 genome equivalents (diploid).

vegetatively growing *Sch. pombe* (Fig. 2 *Upper*). They also displayed a normal size distribution and nuclear morphology by microscopy (Fig. 2 *Lower*).

As a final control we examined the fate of spores derived from a $cdc18^+/cdc18::ura4^+$ diploid. As previously reported, the $cdc18::ura4^+$ spores failed to synthesize DNA. The cells remained at a 1C DNA content throughout the experiment, although the 1C peak broadened somewhat over the 15-hr course. Microscopic examination showed that most of the $cdc18::ura4^+$ spores formed septa and attempted cell division even though DNA replication had not occurred (data not shown). This observation is consistent with the previous suggestion that $cdc18^+$ is essential both for initiation of DNA replication and for the replication checkpoint mechanism. Thus, the terminal phenotype of cells lacking $cpc1^+$ is significantly different from that of cells lacking $cdc18^+$.

Expression of $orp1^+$. The $cdc18^+$ gene is expressed during a narrow window of the cell cycle near the G_1/S boundary. To examine the expression of $orp1^+$ during the cell cycle, we synchronized a population of cdc25-22 cells by a temperature shift protocol (Fig. 3). At the restrictive temperature, the cdc25 strain arrested in late G₂. Upon shift to the permissive temperature, the cells reentered the cell cycle in relatively synchronous fashion as judged by the septation index. The rise in septation index is an indicator of the transition from the G_1 to the S phase of the cell cycle. As a second indicator of the cell synchrony, we examined the level of cdc22⁺ mRNA following shift to the permissive temperature. The $cdc22^+$ gene encodes a subunit of ribonucleotide reductase and is expressed periodically under control of the Cdc10/Sct1 transcriptional activator (23). As expected, the cdc22⁺ mRNA reached maximal levels at about the time of the G_1/S transition. In contrast,



Genetics: Muzi-Falconi and Kelly

12478

FIG. 3. Expression of orp1⁺ mRNA during the cell cycle. A culture of *cdc25-22* cells was arrested in G₂ by incubation for 5 hr at the restrictive temperature (35.5°). At zero time the cells were shifted to the permissive temperature (25°C) and allowed to proceed through the cell cycle. Samples were removed for isolation of RNA every 15 min. RNA was also prepared from samples obtained immediately before (lane EXP) and after (lane ARR) the incubation at 36°C. (*Upper*) Septation index. (*Lower*) Northern blots of mRNA hybridized to probes specific for *orp1*⁺, *cdc22*⁺, and *cdc2*⁺, of which the last-named served as loading controls and indicated that the samples at 210 min and 225 min were underloaded.

Northern blot analysis indicated that the 2.4-kb orp1⁺ mRNA is present at roughly the same level throughout the cell cycle.

The $cdc18^+/CDC6$ Gene Family. A search of the public sequence data bases has revealed a number of additional sequences that have significant sequence similarity to $cdc18^+$ and CDC6. Together, these sequences define a novel family of

genes. The defining characteristic of the family is a 250-amino acid domain that contains four blocks of conserved sequence elements (Fig. 4). Two of these elements correspond to the A and B motifs characteristic of purine nucleotide-binding domains. The remaining two elements, especially block IV, are unique to the family. Not shown in Fig. 4 are several partial sequences in the data base of expressed sequence tags (dBEST) that encode proteins with amino acid similarity to Cdc18 and Cdc6. These include cDNA fragments obtained from Plasmodium falciparum (accession no.: T18071), Oryza sativa (rice; accession no.: D41200) and a human placental cDNA library (accession no.: T96858). Thus, the cdc18+/ CDC6 family includes members from both the plant and animal kingdoms and from mammals as well as fungi. The hypothetical peptide encoded by the putative human sequence fragment is 49% identical to the corresponding region of Sch. pombe Orp1 (Fig. 5). Interestingly, a protein encoded by an archaebacterial plasmid also displays significant homology to the family within the conserved sequence blocks (Fig. 4). Thus, the family appears to be of ancient origin and may be conserved from archaebacteria to man. The Sir3 protein of budding yeast, which is required for transcriptional silencing, appears to be a distant relative of the family and probably shares a common origin (Fig. 4). Although Sir3 exhibits detectable homology to other family members throughout the 250-amino acid conserved domain, it does not contain "A" and "B" purine nucleotide-binding motifs that conform to the consensus.

DISCUSSION

The $orp1^+$ gene was identified by homology to a group of genes that includes *Sch. pombe cdc18*⁺ and *S. cerevisiae CDC6* and *ORC1*. The protein encoded by $orp1^+$ is most closely related to budding yeast Orc1, exhibiting 48% amino acid sequence identity over the segment shown in Fig. 4. Orc1 contains an extended N-terminal region with a very high amino acid sequence similarity to the budding yeast Sir3 protein. Orp1 is smaller than Orc1 and lacks this extended Sir3 homology.



FIG. 4. The *cdc18/CDC6* gene family. Residues highlighted by reverse contrast were present in at least three of the six family members shown. Orp1, *Sch. pombe* Orp1 protein (residues 239–472); Orc1, *S. cerevisiae* Orc1 protein (residues 449–718); Cd18, *Sch. pombe* Cdc18 protein (accession no. A40726; residues 169–434); Cdc6, *S. cerevisiae* Cdc6 protein (accession no. X65299; residues 78–346); Met, *Methanobacterium thermoformicicum* plasmid pFV1 hypothetical protein (accession no. P29569; residues 24–240); Sir3, *S. cerevisiae* Sir3 protein (accession no. P06701; residues 549–814).

- HS KTATVHEVIRCLQQAAQANDVPPFQYIEVNGMKLTEPHQVYVQILQKLTGQKATANHAAELLAKQFCTRGSPQETTVLLVDELDLLWTHKQDIMYNLFDW

FIG. 5. Alignment of Orp1 and a putative human homolog. The cDNA clone containing the putative human homologue of Cdc18/Cdc6 was provided by the I.M.A.G.E. (Integrated Molecular Analysis of Genomes and their Expression) Consortium. The clone contained an open reading frame of 82 residues and was extended by PCR with degenerate primers as described in text. Solid lines indicate identical amino acids. Hs, *Homo sapiens*; Sp, *Sch. pombe*.

Nevertheless, the similarity of the two proteins is striking and strongly suggests that $orp1^+$ encodes a component of an *Sch. pombe* origin recognition complex. Origins of DNA replication have been isolated and characterized in *Sch. pombe*, but the proteins that bind to them have not been identified. The identification of $orp1^+$ may facilitate the detection of such proteins.

The $orp1^+$ gene is essential for viability, and germinating spores that lack $orp1^+$ have an interesting phenotype. They are capable of initiating DNA replication at least once, but eventually they elongate with abnormal nuclei and cease to divide. If $orp1^+$ encodes a component of an essential ORC in Sch. pombe, our data suggest that the mutant spores contain sufficient functional Orp1 protein to initiate DNA replication leading to duplication of at least a large fraction of the chromosomal DNA. This suggestion is in keeping with the observation that the S. cerevisiae ORC complex is probably present at origins of replication throughout the cell cycle (12). Thus, the chromosomal origins of replication in spores derived from *orp1*⁺/*orp1*::*ura4* diploids may already contain a previously bound ORC complex containing functional Orp1 protein. This situation might allow a few rounds of DNA synthesis, but the mutant cells would eventually die because no new Orp1 protein can be synthesized.

It is interesting that Sch. pombe contains two related genes probably involved in DNA replication, one of which, $orp1^+$, is expressed continuously, and the other, $cdc18^+$, is expressed periodically during the cell cycle. It has been reported that the budding yeast CDC6 gene is expressed periodically (24, 25). The expression pattern of ORC1 has not yet been reported, but in vivo footprinting data indicate that the ORC complex is present throughout the cell cycle (12). Recent genetic and biochemical studies indicate that the Cdc6 protein interacts with ORC (26). Thus, the periodically expressed $cdc18^+/$ CDC6 genes may play key roles in the machinery that determines the timing of initiation of DNA replication. Given the similarities among these proteins, it is possible that the function of Cdc18/Cdc6 is mediated via interactions with the ORC complex at origins of DNA replication. For example, Cdc18 and Cdc6 proteins might interact with subunits of ORC via interactions similar to those utilized by Orp1 and Orc1, possibly even replacing the latter subunits prior to S phase. The availability of the genes should make it possible to test speculations such as these directly.

Whatever their biochemical roles, it is likely that both $cdc18^+$ and $orp1^+$ are involved in critical steps required for DNA replication. The identification of a family of related genes conserved from archaebacteria to man also attests to their fundamental importance. If, as seems likely, all of the members of the cdc18/CDC6 family encode regulators of DNA replication, the identification and characterization of the human member may provide a way to approach the problem

of understanding initiation of DNA replication in higher eukaryotes.

We thank the members of the Kelly lab for stimulating discussions; P. Simanceck for valuable help; and Dr. D. Herendeen, D. Bellows, and Dr. B. Stillman for communicating results prior to publication. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health.

- 1. Kelly, T. J., Nurse, P. & Forsburg, S. L. (1993) Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 58, 637-644.
- Campbell, J. L. & Newlon, C. S. (1991) in *Chromosomal DNA* Replication, eds. Broach, J. R., Pringle, J. R. & Jones, E. W. (Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press, Plainview, NY), Vol. 1, pp. 41–146.
- 3. Coverley, D. & Laskey, R. A. (1994) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 63, 745-776.
- 4. DePamphilis, M. L. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 1-4.
- 5. Stillman, B. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 7047-7050.
- Johnston, L. H. & Lowndes, N. F. (1992) Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 2403-2410.
- 7. Diffley, J. F. (1994) Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 6, 368-372.
- 8. Bell, S. P., Kobayashi, R. & Stillman, B. (1993) Science 262, 1844-1849.
- Foss, M., McNally, F. J., Laurenson, P. & Rine, J. (1993) Science 262, 1838–1844.
- 10. Li, J. J. & Herskowitz, I. (1993) Science 262, 1870-1874.
- 11. Micklem, G., Rowley, A., Harwood, J., Nasmyth, K. & Diffley, J. F. (1993) *Nature (London)* **366**, 87-89.
- 12. Diffley, J. F., Cocker, J. H., Dowell, S. J. & Rowley, A. (1994) Cell 78, 303-316.
- 13. Caligiuri, M. & Beach, D. (1993) Cell 72, 607-619.
- 14. Reymond, A., Marks, J. & Simanis, V. (1993) EMBO J. 12, 4325-4334.
- Kelly, T. J., Martin, G. S., Forsburg, S. L., Stephen, R. J., Russo, A. & Nurse, P. (1993) Cell 74, 371–382.
- Hogan, E. & Koshland, D. (1992) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 3098-3102.
- 17. Moreno, S., Klar, A. & Nurse, P. (1991) Methods Enzymol. 194, 795-823.
- Hoheisel, J. D., Maier, E., Mott, R., McCarthy, L., Grigoriev, A. V., Schalkwyk, L. C., Nizetic, D., Francis, F. & Lehrach, H. (1993) Cell 73, 109–120.
- Maier, E., Hoheisel, J. D., McCarthy, L., Mott, R., Grigoriev, A. V., Monaco, A. P., Larin, Z. & Lehrach, H. (1992) Nat. Genetics 1, 273-277.
- 20. Lin, Y., Larson, K. L., Dorer, R. & Smith, G. R. (1992) Genetics 132, 75-85.
- 21. Moreno, S., Hayles, J. & Nurse, P. (1989) Cell 58, 361-372.
- 22. Walker, J. E., Saraste, M., Runswick, M. J. & Gay, N. J. (1982) EMBO J. 1, 945–951.
- 23. Lowndes, N. F., McInerny, C. J., Johnson, A. L., Fantes, P. A. & Johnston, L. H. (1992) *Nature (London)* **355**, 449-453.
- 24. Zwerschke, W., Rottjakob, H. W. & Kuntzel, H. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 23351–23356.
- 25. Zhou, C. & Jong, A. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 19904-19909.
- 26. Liang, C., Weinreich, M. & Stillman, B. (1995) Cell 81, 667-676.